An Investigation of Determinants of Food Security in Rural Areas of Faisalabad, Pakistan

Shahid Mahmood

Assistant Professor, Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

Aqeela Tabassum

Deputy District Officer, Population Welfare Department, Chakwal, Pakistan

Shahbaz Ahmad Khan

Department of Sociology, Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Norina Jabeen

Department of Sociology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Doi:10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n4p676

Abstract

Food security exist when all people, all the times have physical and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preference for an active and healthy life. Food security may be analyzed four units at different conceptual level: regions, countries, household and individual. Pakistani people have not enough access to food for their proper nutrition. This study was carried out to investigate the determinants of food security and key issues affecting food security in rural areas of Faisalabad. A sample of 120 household respondents was selected systematically from rural Faisalabad through multistage sampling technique. Study revealed that 63.3% respondents reported that they had faced the problems regarding the availability of all type of food products and 50.3% respondents were satisfied about the quality of food. Almost two third of the respondents i.e. 63.3% claimed that they had not access to access to all type of food products which they want to get. The value of Chi-Square and Gamma showed a significant and strong association between age, education and income of the respondents and their perception about food security.

Keywords: Food security, nutrition, food availability, food preference

1. Introduction

Food security can be broadly divided into three main components namely; food availability (Physical access to food), economic access to food and equity of food distribution (Timer, 2000). The number of chronically hungry people was 848 million in 2003 with rose to 923 million in 2007. Given the continued and drastic price rise in staple cereals and oil crops in 2006, a number of people suffering from chronic hunger has increased and is likely to increase further (FAO, 2006). Food insecurity refers to a lack of access to enough food. There are two types of food security: chronic and transitory. Transitory food insecurity is a temporary decline in a household's access to enough food. Chronic food insecurity is a continuously inadequate diet caused by the inability to acquire food (Chakiso and Emana, 2012). In Pakistan, food insecurity remain an unfulfilled dream for currently about 42 million people have not access to enough food. Pakistan is one of the major producers of important agricultural production in the world with a relatively high proportion of undernourished population (26 %). Pakistan is a food secure as well as food sufficient country at the national level. But at the household level 23% households are food insecure (Bashir et al., 2012). The Nutrition Survey indicated that nearly 43% of the children are malnutrition, 42% are under weighted, 37% stunned, 13% wasted and 36% iron deficiency anemia due to the food shortage (GOP, 2002). Food insecurity and consequently food poverty has been on increase over time. The incidence of food poverty is higher in rural areas 35%, than in urban areas 26% (United Nation, 2001). The international index score on food security affordability, quality and availability estimates a country's aptitude to feed its people. Out of the 26 developing countries (\$1,006-3,975 per capita) countries, Pakistan got 19th place as it scores 38.5 points out of a total of 100. Sri Lanka and India have performed better than Pakistan by securing 62nd and 66th positions on the index. Bangladesh, however, lags behind at 81st place (Jamal, 2012).

Out of 120 districts of rural areas of Pakistan only 40 districts have access to secure food while 80 (67%) are food insecure. Within these food insecure districts, 38 (46%) are extremely food insecure. A number of factors are liable for this situation. The production of wheat, maize, rice, oilseeds, pulses, meat, poultry and fish at the district level are the major indicators of availability of foods. Punjab, KPK, and Baluchistan providence except of Sindh is more likely to be food insecure in the matter of availability. Batter child immunization status, access to safe drinking water and number of hospitals has shown positive effect on food absorption (Azid *et al.*, 2012). According to "National Nutrition Survey" 2011, food insecurity has become one of the major national problems in Pakistan. This report points out that the number of hungry, under nourished and malnourished people raises from 51% of the country's total population in 2008 to 58% in 2011. This report further reported that almost 60% households in Punjab, 72% in Sindh and 63.5% in Baluchistan suffered food insecurity. Rising food prices, floods, poverty, armed conflicts, terrorism, energy crisis, economic slowdown and political instability are some of the major factors blamed for rising number of hungry people in the country (NNSP, 2011).

2. Methodology

This study was designed to assess the determinants of food security in rural areas of district Faisalabad. There are eight towns in Faisalabad. Four towns represent the rural areas namely Tandalian wala Town, Samundari Town, Chack Jhummara Town and Jaranawala Town. One town Chack Jhummara Town was selected through multistage sampling technique. At the 1st stage on Town out of four rural Town was selected and the 2nd stage two Union Councils (U.C 3 and 12) were selected through simple random sampling technique from the selected rural Town (80 respondents from U.C 3 and 40 respondents from U.C. 12) selected by using proportional sampling technique. And the last stage respondents were selected through systematic sampling technique. Thus 120 respondents were selected from two Union councils.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 show that socio economic and demographic characteristic of the respondents. Data exhibited that 38.3% of the respondents belonged to 18 to 25 year age group, indicated that the healthy participation of youth in this survey. Majority of the respondents 48.3% belonged to 26 to 40 year age group, while the remaining 18.3% respondents belonged to 42 and above year age group. Data indicated that majority of the respondents 87.5% were female. Data demonstrated that majority of the respondents 45% were illiterate, whilst the 23.3% respondents had 1to5 grade education. 17.5% and 14.2% respondents had 6 to 10 grade and 11 and above grade education respectively. 21.7% respondent's income were up to 10,000 rupees, while majority 43.3% of the respondent's income were in between 10001 to 20000 rupees and more than one third i.e. 35% had above R.s 20000 hose hold monthly income.

Table 1. Socio economic and demographic characteristic of the respondents

Age of the respondents	Frequency	Percentage			
18 to 25	46	38.3			
26-40	52	48.3			
41 and above	22	18.3			
Sex of the respondents					
Male	15	12.5			
Female	105	87.5			
Educational Level					
Illiterate	54	45.0			
1to 5 Grade	28	23.3			
6-10 Grade	21	17.5			
11 and above grade	17	14.2			
Household monthly income (Rupees)					
Up to 10000	26	21.7			
10001-20000	52	43.3			
20001 and above	42	35.0			

One third of the respondents i.e. 33.3% were consonance of this statements that the "all type of food products available in their area", while the same proportion of the respondents 33.3% reported that there were not access on the proper availability of food products in their areas. About 20.0% and 13.3% of the respondents told that food products were available often and some times respectively. Data shows that majority of the respondents 63.3% reported that they have not access on all type of foods products which we want to get in our areas. More than one third of the respondents i.e. 36.7% were in the opinion that, they had access on the availability of all type of food products in their areas. Data show that 53.3% respondents were satisfied with the quality of food which they bought from their surrounding areas, while 46.7% respondents were not satisfied about the quality of food. Arene and Anyaeji, (2011) found that about 60 per cent of the households were food insecure Nsukka metropolis of Enugu State, Nigeria. Data show that as far as eating habits of the respondents concerned 13.3% were eat two time in a day, whilst, a large majority 82.5% respondents were eat meal in 3 times in a day. A small proportion of the respondents 4.2% were eat the meal more than three times in a day. Saleem, (2011) argued that about 58% of the households were food-insecure in Pakistan and, among them, 28.4% were food-insecure without hunger, 19.8% with moderate hunger and 9.8% were food insecure with severe hunger. In urban areas, about 48% were food secure while 52% were food insecure, compared with rural areas where 39.4% of households were food secure and 60.6% were food insecure. On thing is to be noted here that this research was conducted in rural areas where the natural food i.e. milk, butter and eggs are easily available but only 30.8 respondents were in the opinion that family members took milk regularly and majority 69.2% of the respondent's family member had not access to milk regularly. Wasif, (2011) a famous analyst pointed out that 57% of the households in Pakistan were facing food insecurity. In these households, 50% of the women and children were found to be malnourished. These statics shows that the maternal and child malnutrition is a major issue for Pakistani people till now.

	Response categories	Frequency	Percentage		
1	All types of food products available in your area				
i.	Yes	40	33.3		
ii.	No	40	33.3		
iii.	Often	24	20.0		
iv.	Some time	16	13.3		
2	Accessibility of food products which you want to get				
İ.	Yes	44	36.7		
ii.	No	74	63.3		
3	Satisfaction with the quality of food				
i.	Yes	64	53.3		
ii.	No	56	46.7		
4	How many time eat meal in a day				
i.	Two	16	13.3		
ii.	Three	99	82.5		
iii.	More than three	5	4.2		
5	Family members take milk regularly				
	Yes	37	30.8		
	No	83	69.2		
6	Bought wheat for the whole year				
	Yes	69	57.5		
	No	51	42.5		
7	Income spent on buying their food				
	Up to 10000	54	45		
	10001-15000	44	36.7		
i	15001 and above	22	18.3		
8	Knowledge about	balance diet			
	Yes	70	58.3		
	No	50	41.7		

Table 2: Distribution of the respondents with regard to availability, accessibility and satisfaction with the quality of food products

Data show that 57.5% respondents were purchased wheat for the entire year while 42.5% respondents could not purchased wheat for the whole year. Data exhibited that 45% respondents spent their income on food up to 10000

rupees per month, while the 36.7% respondents spent 10001 to 15000 rupees per month on purchasing food. These findings show that a bulky share of monthly household income was allocated for purchasing the food item in rural areas. One thing is to be very astonished that in rural areas 58.3% respondents had knowledge about the balance diet while 41.7% respondents had no any idea about the balance diet.

Ago of the respondents	Knowledge about food security			Total	
Age of the respondents	Low	Medium	High	TULAT	
Up to 25 Years	2 (3.8%)	7 (13.5%)	43 (82.7%)	52 (43.3%)	
26-40	10 (21.7%)	8 (17.4%)	28 (60.9%)	46 (38.3%)	
40 and above	2 (9.1%)	8 (36.4%)	12 (54.5%)	22 (18.4%)	
Total	14 (11.7%)	23 (19.2%)	83 (69.2%)	120 (100.0%)	
10.71 Demos of free dame A Duralus 2000 Commence unlike 2004					

 Table3: Association between age of the respondents and their knowledge bout food security

Chi-square = 13.71; Degree of freedom= 4; P-value = .008; Gamma value= -.394

Table 3 shows highly significant association between age of the respondents and their knowledge about food security was found. Gamma value shows a strong negative relationship between variables. Data reveals that young adults had more knowledge and awareness about food security as compared to older respondents. Similar findings found by the, Arene and Anyaeji (2011) they pointed out that employed status and older household heads tend to be food more food secure. Table 5 shows a highly significant association between income of the respondents and their knowledge about food security

Table4: Association between education of the respondents and their knowledge about food security

Education of the respondents	Knowledge about food security			Total
Education of the respondents	Low	Medium	High	TULAI
Illiterate	7 (77.8%)	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	9 (7.5%)
Up to 8 Grade	4 (15.4%)	10 (38.5%)	12 (46.2%)	26 (21.7%)
12 Grade	1(5.3%)	7 (36.8%)	11(57.9%)	19 (15.8%)
14 Grade and above	2 (3.0%)	5 (7.6%)	59 (89.4%)	66 (55%)
Total	14 (11.7%)	23 (19.2%)	83 (69.2%)	120 (100.0%)

Chi-square = 78.04; Degree of freedom= 6; P-value = .000**; Gamma value= 0.781

Table 5: Association between income of the respondents and their knowledge about food security

Income of the respondents in (R.s)	Knowledge about food security			Total
income of the respondents in (R.S)	Low	Medium	High	TULAI
Up to 10000	8(30.8%)	8 (30.8%)	10 (38.5%)	26 (21.7%)
10001-20000	6 (11.5%)	6(11.5%)	40 (76.9%)	52 (43.3%)
20001 and above	-	9 (21.4%)	33 (78.6%)	42 (35%)
Total	14 (11.7%)	23 (19.2%)	83 (69.2%)	120 (100.0%)

Chi-square = 21.08; Degree of freedom= 4; P-value = .000**; Gamma value= 0.475

Table 4 shows that Chi-square value (78.04) highly significant association between education of the respondents and their knowledge about food security. It means illiterate people had less knowledge as compared to educated respondents. Sultan and Kiani (2011) also described that the batter educational level of household's head had also important and positive impact on food security. Place of residence (Urban) had an imperative and negative effect on household's food security. While social capital and service structure of the respondents did not affect household's food security. In table 5 gamma values (0.47) shows also a strong positive relationship between dependent and independent variables. The finding of Bashir *et al.*, (2012) strongly line up with present study they examined that monthly income, livestock, joint family system and batter educational levels were positively impact on rural household food security. From another point of view, greater household heads' age and family size had negative impacts on household food security.

4. Conclusion

The preponderance of household food insecurity in the study localization was high and distressing. In rural areas of study zone majority of the people had not proper access to food and mostly respondents reported that non-proper availability of food products in their area. It was found that majority of the people had low purchasing power. Due to the low purchasing power people especially children were suffering malnutrition, anemia and other communicable diseases. It is suggested that government should pay proper attention for physical and economic access to sufficient food for adequate dietary needs for every citizen.

Reference

- Arene, C. J. and R. C. Anyaeji. 2011. Determinants of Food Security among Households in Nsukka Metropolis of Enugu State, Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*. 30(1): 9-16
- Azid, T., R. E. A. Khan, M. U.Toseef. 2012. Determinants of Food Security in Rural Areas of Pakistan. International Journal of Social Economics. 39(12)
- Bashir, M. K., Schilizzi, S. and Pandit, R. (2012) The determinants of rural household food security in the Punjab, Pakistan: an econometric analysis, Working Paper 1203, School of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia.
- Chakiso, K.T. and B. Emana. Household Food Insecurity, Coping Strategies and Policy Options: Food Insecurity Causes and Coping Strategies among Farm Households in Dodota-Sire District, Arsi Zone. Lambert Academic Publishing, Germany.
- FAO. 2006. THE State of Food Security in the World. Food and Agricultural Organization, Italy, FAO 2006.
- GOP. 2002. Government of Pakistan. National Nutrition and Health Survey. Ministry of Health Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Jamal, N. 2012. Ranking in food security index. "The Dawn News". 13th August, 2012. Available at. http://dawn.com/2012/08/13/ranking-in-food-security-index/. Accessed date 12/09/2012

NNSP. 2011. National Nutrition Survey Pakistan. Nutrition Wing, Cabinet Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.

- Saleem, M. 2011. Nutrition Survey 2011 report: About 58 percent of households are food-insecure in Pakistan. Retrieved Date 27/09/2012. Available at http://www.brecorder.com/general-news/single/599/172/1254523
- Sultana, A. and A. Kiani. 2011. Determinants of food security at household level in Pakistan. *African Journal of Business Management*. 5(34): 12972-79.
- Timer, C. P. 2000. The Macro Dimension of Food Security: Economic Growth, Equitable Distribution and Food Price Stability. Food Policy. 25(1):283-295.
- Wasif, S. 2011. National Nutrition Survey 2011: Food insecurity affecting 60% of women and children. *Published in The Express Tribune, September 18th, 2011.*